

# Quantum spin liquids: from Rydberg atoms to the high temperature superconductors

Gauge Workshop Munich 2022  
Max Planck Institute of Quantum Optics

May 11, 2022

Subir Sachdev

Talk online: [sachdev.physics.harvard.edu](https://sachdev.physics.harvard.edu)



INSTITUTE FOR  
ADVANCED STUDY

PHYSICS



HARVARD

1. Spin liquids and  $Z_2$  gauge theory
2. Rydberg atoms as a  $Z_2$  gauge theory  
*Probing topological spin liquids*
3. Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the pseudogap metal of the Hubbard model

1. Spin liquids and  $Z_2$  gauge theory


2. Rydberg atoms as a  $Z_2$  gauge theory

*Probing topological spin liquids*

3. Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the pseudogap metal of the Hubbard model


# Triangular lattice antiferromagnet

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$




Nearest-neighbor model has non-collinear Neel order


# Mapping of bosons and spins



# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$





$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$





$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice



# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$





$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$





$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

# Spin liquid: resonating valence bonds

Bosons at half-filling,  
or a spin model with  $S=1/2$  per unit cell


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




$$|G\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$



$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 1/2




$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




$$B_2^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} B_1^\dagger B_2^\dagger |0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$$

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 1/2  
a “spinon”



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




- The boson creation operator  $B^\dagger$  creates a *pair* of spinons.
- A single spinon carries boson number  $B^\dagger B = 1/2$ : **fractionalization!**

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 1/2  
a “spinon”



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




- The boson creation operator  $B^\dagger$  creates a *pair* of spinons.
- A single spinon carries boson number  $B^\dagger B = 1/2$ : **fractionalization!**

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 1/2  
a “spinon”



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




- The boson creation operator  $B^\dagger$  creates a *pair* of spinons.
- A single spinon carries boson number  $B^\dagger B = 1/2$ : **fractionalization!**

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 1/2  
a “spinon”


$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$




- The boson creation operator  $B^\dagger$  creates a *pair* of spinons.
- A single spinon carries boson number  $B^\dagger B = 1/2$ : **fractionalization!**



# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \\ \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$


$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

# RVB: $Z_2$ spin liquid

Excitations with boson number 0  
a vison ( $m$  particle)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_1^\dagger - B_2^\dagger) |0\rangle$$



$$|v\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} c_{\mathcal{D}} (-1)^{n_{\mathcal{D}}} |\mathcal{D}\rangle$$

$\mathcal{D} \rightarrow$  dimer covering  
of lattice

$n_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow$  number of dimers  
crossing red line

## RVB: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid

Read and Sachdev (1990); Wen (1991)

The simplest stable spin liquid (which need not break time-reversal) is the deconfined phase of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory. There are ‘spinon’ excitations which carry unit  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  electric charges, and ‘vison’ excitations which carry  $\pi$   $\mathbb{Z}_2$  magnetic flux.


Anyon	$e$ (spinon)	$\epsilon$ (spinon)	$m$ (vison)
Boson number	1/2	1/2	0
Self-statistics	boson	fermion	boson

Any pair of  $e$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $m$  are mutual semions.

These anyons are ‘topological’: they cannot be created individually by any local operator, and their existence implies a four-fold ground state degeneracy on a large torus.

# Pure $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = -K \sum_{\square} \prod_{\ell \in \square} Z_{\ell} - g \sum_{\ell} X_{\ell}$$



$$G_i = \begin{array}{c|cc} & X & X \\ \hline X & & X \\ & & X \end{array}$$

$$[\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}, G_i] = 0 \quad , \quad G_i = (-1)^{2S} \text{ for spin } S \text{ antiferromagnets}$$



# $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory with matter

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= -K \sum_{\square} \prod_{\ell \in \square} Z_{\ell} - g \sum_{\ell} X_{\ell} \\ &\quad - J \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \tau_i^z Z_{\ell} \tau_j^z - h \sum_i \tau_{\ell}^x \\ G_i &= \tau_i^x \prod_{\ell \in i} X_{\ell} \quad , \quad [\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}, G_i] = 0\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose  $G_i = 1$  and  $\text{sgn}(h) = (-1)^{2S}$ .

The  $\tau_i^x$  operator creates a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  electric charge – a ‘spinon’ which has mutual semionic statistics with a vison.

1. Spin liquids and  $Z_2$  gauge theory

2. Rydberg atoms as a  $Z_2$  gauge theory

*Probing topological spin liquids*

3. Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the pseudogap metal of the Hubbard model

[Quantum phases of Rydberg atoms on a kagome lattice,](#)

Rhine Samajdar, Wen Wei Ho, Hannes Pichler, M. D. Lukin, and S. S.,

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **118**, e2015785118 (2021); [arXiv:2011.12295](#)

[Emergent  \$Z\_2\$  gauge theories and topological excitations in Rydberg atom arrays,](#)

Rhine Samajdar, Darshan G. Joshi, Yanting Teng, and S. S., [arXiv:2204.00632](#)



Wen  
Wei Ho



Mikhail  
Lukin



Hannes  
Pichler





Darshan  
Joshi



Yanting Teng

Rhine Samajdar

# QPTs in a Rydberg quantum simulator



$$|g\rangle \equiv |0\rangle$$

$$|r\rangle \equiv b^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} (b_{\ell} + b_{\ell}^{\dagger}) - \Delta n_{\ell} \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} V_{|\ell - \ell'|} n_{\ell} n_{\ell'}$$

$$n_{\ell} \equiv b_{\ell}^{\dagger} b_{\ell}$$

$n_{\ell} = 0, 1$  'hard core' bosons

$$V_{|\ell - \ell'|} \sim \frac{1}{|\ell - \ell'|^6}$$

FSS model

S. Sachdev, K. Sengupta, and S.M. Girvin, PRB **66**, 075128 (2002)

P. Fendley, K. Sengupta, S. Sachdev, PRB **69**, 075106 (2004)


# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} (b_{\ell} + b_{\ell}^{\dagger}) - \Delta n_{\ell} \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} V_{|\ell - \ell'|} n_{\ell} n_{\ell'}$$

$$n_{\ell} \equiv b_{\ell}^{\dagger} b_{\ell}$$

$n_{\ell} = 0, 1$  'hard core' bosons

Identify hard core bosons with a qubit  $X, Y, Z$



$$b_{\ell} + b_{\ell}^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow Z_{\ell}$$

$$n_{\ell} \Leftrightarrow (1 - X_{\ell})/2$$

$Z$  will become the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge field

# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory


$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} Z_{\ell} + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_{\ell} \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell - \ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_{\ell})(1 - X_{\ell'})$$

Identify hard core bosons with a qubit  $X, Y, Z$

$$b_{\ell} + b_{\ell}^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow Z_{\ell}$$

$$n_{\ell} \Leftrightarrow (1 - X_{\ell})/2$$


$Z$  will become the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge field



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'})$$


Introduce  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  matter fields on 'i sites'. Gauge invariance:  $\tau_i^z \rightarrow \rho_i \tau_i^z$ ,  $Z_{ij} \rightarrow \rho_i Z_{ij} \rho_j$ ,  $\tau_i^x \rightarrow \tau_i^x$ ,  $X_\ell \rightarrow X_\ell$ ,  $\rho_i = \pm 1$ . Gauss law constraint:  $G_i = \tau_i^x \prod_{\ell \in i} X_\ell = 1$ .



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$

The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid






# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid



# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$


The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid




# From the FSS model to an emergent $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory


$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\ell \in (i,j)} \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} \tau_i^z Z_\ell \tau_j^z + \frac{\Delta}{2} X_\ell \right] + \sum_{\ell < \ell'} \frac{V_{|\ell-\ell'|}}{4} (1 - X_\ell)(1 - X_{\ell'}) - \sum_{\text{loops}} K_{\text{loop}} \prod_{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \dots \in \text{loop}} Z_{\ell_1} Z_{\ell_2} Z_{\ell_3} \dots$$

The  $K_{\text{loop}}$  terms are generated in a large  $V$  expansion: ‘resonance’ between Rydberg states can stabilize a phase with deconfined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charges *i.e.* a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid





+



+



...



# Rydberg atoms on site-kagome lattice: theory




(b) Stripe:  $\delta = 2.2$ ,  $R_b = 1.2$



(c) Nematic:  $\delta = 3.3$ ,  $R_b = 1.7$

(d) Staggered:  $\delta = 3.3$ ,  $R_b = 2.1$





R. Samajdar, Wen Wei Ho, H. Pichler, M. D. Lukin, and  
S. Sachdev, PNAS **118**, e2015785118 (2021)

# Rydberg atoms on site-kagome lattice: theory




(b) Stripe:  $\delta = 2.2$ ,  $R_b = 1.2$



(c) Nematic:  $\delta = 3.3$ ,  $R_b = 1.7$

(d) Staggered:  $\delta = 3.3$ ,  $R_b = 2.1$








R. Samajdar, Wen Wei Ho, H. Pichler, M. D. Lukin, and S. Sachdev, PNAS **118**, e2015785118 (2021)

Topological spin liquid described by emergent  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory?

# Triangular lattice quantum dimer model with variable dimer density

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & -t \sum_r \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right| + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
 & + V \sum_r \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \right| \right) \\
 & - h \sum_l \left( \left| \bullet \text{---} \bullet \right\rangle \left\langle \bullet \text{---} \bullet \right| + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
 & - \mu \sum_l \left( \left| \bullet \text{---} \bullet \right\rangle \left\langle \bullet \text{---} \bullet \right| \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

# Triangular lattice quantum dimer model with variable dimer density




# Rydberg atoms on link-kagome lattice: theory

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_j \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} (b_j + b_j^\dagger) - \Delta n_j \right] + \sum_{i < j} V_{|i-j|} n_i n_j, \quad n_j \equiv b_j^\dagger b_j = 0, 1.$$

The sites  $j$  are on the links of the kagome lattice.




Examine the PXP model,  $V_{\text{nearest neighbor}} = \infty$ , other  $V_k = 0$ .



# Probing Topological Spin Liquids on a Programmable Quantum Simulator

G. Semeghini, H. Levine, A. Keesling, S. Ebadi, T.T. Wang, D. Bluvstein, R. Verresen, H. Pichler, M. Kalinowski, R. Samajdar, A. Omran, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, M. Greiner, V. Vuletic, M. D. Lukin, *Science* **374**, 1242 (2021).


Rydberg atoms  
on the  
link-kagome lattice:  
experiment




# Probing Topological Spin Liquids on a Programmable Quantum Simulator

G. Semeghini, H. Levine, A. Keesling, S. Ebadi, T.T.Wang, D. Bluvstein, R. Verresen, H. Pichler, M. Kalinowski, R. Samajdar, A. Omran, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, M. Greiner, V. Vuletic, M. D. Lukin, *Science* **374**, 1242 (2021).

Rydberg atoms  
on the  
link-kagome lattice:  
experiment



Measurement of  
the topological  
 $X$  operator  
 $= \prod_{\text{loop}} X_\ell$ .  
Detects close-packed dimers.




# Probing Topological Spin Liquids on a Programmable Quantum Simulator


G. Semeghini, H. Levine, A. Keesling, S. Ebadi, T.T.Wang, D. Bluvstein, R. Verresen, H. Pichler, M. Kalinowski, R. Samajdar, A. Omran, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, M. Greiner, V. Vuletic, M. D. Lukin, *Science* **374**, 1242 (2021).

Rydberg atoms  
on the  
link-kagome lattice:  
experiment

$$Z = \begin{array}{c} \triangle \\ \text{wavy line} \end{array} : \begin{cases} \triangle \leftrightarrow (-1) \triangle \\ \triangle \leftrightarrow \triangle \end{cases}$$



Measurement of  
the topological  
 $Z$  operator.  
Detects resonance  
between dimer loops.





1. Spin liquids and  $Z_2$  gauge theory
2. Rydberg atoms as a  $Z_2$  gauge theory

*Probing topological spin liquids*

3. Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the pseudogap metal of the Hubbard model



**Yahui Zhang**

arXiv: 2001.09159

arXiv: 2103.05009



**Alexander  
Nikolaenko**

arXiv: 2006.01140


arXiv: 2111.13703



**Maria  
Tikhanovskaya**



**Eric Mascot**




**Dirk Morr**


# Ultracold fermionic atoms in optical lattices

## Microscopic evolution of doped Mott insulators from polaronic metal to Fermi liquid

Joannis Koepsell, Dominik Bourgund, Pimonpan Sompet, Sarah Hirthe, Annabelle Bohrdt, Yao Wang, Fabian Grusdt, Eugene Demler, Guillaume Salomon, Christian Gross, Immanuel Bloch

*Science* **374** (2021) 82





Can a FL\* state in a *single-band* Hubbard model describe the pseudogap metal over an intermediate temperature range, along with a crossover/transition to confinement at lower temperatures?

# Paramagnon theory of the Hubbard model

$$H = - \sum_{i < j} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i \left( n_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \mu \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$$

We use the operator equation (valid on each site  $i$ ):

$$U \left( n_\uparrow - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_\downarrow - \frac{1}{2} \right) = -\frac{2U}{3} \mathbf{S}^2 + \frac{U}{4}$$

Then we decouple the interaction via



$$\exp \left( \frac{2U}{3} \sum_i \int d\tau \mathbf{S}_i^2 \right) = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi_i(\tau) \exp \left( - \sum_i \int d\tau \left[ \frac{3}{8U} \Phi_i^2 - \Phi_i \cdot c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \right] \right)$$

This yields the ‘Scalapino-Pines-Chubukov-Schmalian...’ theory for a ‘paramagnon quantum rotor’  $\Phi_i$  coupled to otherwise free fermions  $c_{i\sigma}$ .

# Paramagnon theory of the Hubbard model

Free electrons of density  $1-p$

Hubbard model of density  $1-p$



Ancilla qubits



$\Phi$  paramagnon

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \lambda \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \Phi_i + \dots$$

# Paramagnon theory of the Hubbard model

Free electrons of density  $1-p$

Hubbard model of density  $1-p$



Ancilla qubits


$\Phi$  paramagnon

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \lambda \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \Phi_i + \dots$$


# Paramagnon theory of the Hubbard model

Free electrons of density  $1-p$

Hubbard model of density  $1-p$



Ancilla qubits



$\Phi$  paramagnon



$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \lambda \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \Phi_i + \dots$$




# Paramagnon theory of the Hubbard model

Free electrons of density  $1-p$

Hubbard model of density  $1-p$




Ancilla qubits



$\Phi$  paramagnon

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \lambda \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \Phi_i + \dots$$


# Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the Hubbard model



$$\Phi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (S_{2i} - S_{1i})$$


$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} - \lambda \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \Phi_i + \dots$$

# Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the Hubbard model



$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{paramagnon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + J_K \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{1i} + -\tilde{J}_K \sum_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \frac{\tau_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{i\sigma'} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2i} + \dots$$


# Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the Hubbard model



A FL\* state is realized when the antiferromagnetic Kondo coupling dominates, and the  $c_\sigma$  and  $S_1$  form a “large” Fermi surface of hole density  $(1 + p) + 1 = 2 + p = p \text{ mod } 2!$



The  $S_2$  must form a decoupled spin liquid which does not break translational symmetry, to obtain a metal with a non-Luttinger volume Fermi surface.

# Paramagnon fractionalization theory of the Hubbard model



Related by a Schrieffer-Wolff canonical transformation with  $U = \frac{3J_K^2}{8J_\perp} + \frac{3J_K^3}{16J_\perp} + \dots$

# Trial wavefunctions in the paramagnon fractionalization theory





Large Fermi surface of size  $1 + p$

$$|\text{FL}\rangle = |\text{Rung singlets of } f_1, f_2\rangle$$

$$\otimes |\text{Slater determinant of } c\rangle$$



# Trial wavefunctions in the paramagnon fractionalization theory



Small Fermi surface of size  $p$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\text{FL}^*\rangle = & [\text{Projection onto rung singlets of } f_1, f_2] \\
 & \boxtimes |\text{Slater determinant of } (c, f_1)\rangle \\
 & \otimes |\text{Slater determinant of } f_2\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

# Trial wavefunctions in the paramagnon fractionalization theory





Small Fermi surface of size  $p$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\text{FL}^*\rangle = & [\text{Projection onto rung singlets of } f_1, f_2] \\
 & \bowtie |\text{Slater determinant of } (c, f_1)\rangle \\
 & \otimes |\text{Slater determinant of } f_2\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



# Trial wavefunctions in the paramagnon fractionalization theory



Small Fermi surface of size  $p$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\text{FL}^*\rangle &= [\text{Projection onto rung singlets of } f_1, f_2] \\
 &\quad \bowtie |\text{Slater determinant of } (c, f_1)\rangle \\
 &\quad \otimes |\text{Slater determinant of } f_2\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

# $(\text{SU}(2)_1 \times \text{SU}(2)_2 \times \text{SU}(2)_S) / \mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge theory of **one-band** model

Fermion partons of ancilla spins:  $\mathbf{S}_1 = f_{1\alpha}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{1\beta}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_2 = f_{2\alpha}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} f_{2\beta}$ .

Write fermion partons as  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1\uparrow} & -f_{1\downarrow}^\dagger \\ f_{1\downarrow} & f_{1\uparrow}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{f}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{2\uparrow} & -f_{2\downarrow}^\dagger \\ f_{2\downarrow} & f_{2\uparrow}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

Constraints  $f_{1\alpha}^\dagger f_{1\alpha} = 1$  and  $f_{2\alpha}^\dagger f_{2\alpha} = 1$  lead to:

P.A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and  
X.-G. Wen, RMP **78**, 17 (2006)

$$\text{SU}(2)_1 : \quad \mathbf{f}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_1 U_1 \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_2$$

$$\text{SU}(2)_2 : \quad \mathbf{f}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_1 \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_2 U_2$$

S. Sachdev, M.A. Metlitski, Yang Qi, and  
Cenke Xu, PRB **80**, 155129 (2009)

Rung singlet formation  $\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 \approx 0$  leads to:

S. Sachdev, H. D. Scammell, M. S. Scheurer,  
and G. Tarnopolsky, PRB **99**, 054516 (2019)

$$\text{SU}(2)_S : \quad \mathbf{f}_1 \rightarrow U_S \mathbf{f}_1 \quad , \quad \mathbf{f}_2 \rightarrow U_S \mathbf{f}_2$$

# Summary

- Probing  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid with Rydberg atoms:

Two-state Rydberg atoms on the kagome and ruby lattices can be written *exactly* as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory. Evidence for intermediate scale deconfinement of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory on the ruby lattice.

# Summary

- Probing  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid with Rydberg atoms:  
Two-state Rydberg atoms on the kagome and ruby lattices can be written *exactly* as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory. Evidence for intermediate scale deconfinement of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory on the ruby lattice.
- Paramagnon fractionalization theory of FL\* for the pseudogap metal of the cuprate high temperature superconductors:  
Don't fractionalize the mobile electron, but fractionalize the 'paramagnon rotor' into 'ancilla qubits'.  
Predicts electronic spectra in good agreement with observations in *both* nodal and anti-nodal regions.  
 $(\text{SU}(2)_1 \times \text{SU}(2)_2 \times \text{SU}(2)_S) / \mathbb{Z}_2$  theory for transition from FL\* to FL.