

IEA-GAN: Intra-Event Aware GAN for the Fast Simulation of PXD Background at Belle II

Hosein Hashemi¹, Nikolai Hartmann¹, Sahand Sharifzadeh², Matej Srebre¹, Thomas Kuhr¹

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München ^{1,2} The ORIGINS Excellence Cluster ¹ DeepMind ²



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Introduction

The Pixel Vertex Detector (PXD) is the innermost semi-conductor sub-detector at Belle II. The sensitive area of the PXD is assembled from 40 modules, where each module consists of a 250 \times 768 pixel matrix of the pixel sensors. The inner layer: 16 modules implemented into 8 ladders The outer layer: 24 modules implemented into 12 ladders Belle II detector PXD sensors inside the detector Two layers: inner & outer 2.3 2.2 2.6 2.1 2.7 2.12 2.8 Inner layer: 8 ladders 2.11 2.9 Outer layer: 12 ladders 2.10 PXD Each ladder consists of 2 sensors

Backgrounds



The PXD hits are coming from two sources:

Signal Decays: Involve on average less than 1 percent hits per sensor

Backgrounds: Majority of hits



Colour-reversed real (simulated) image

Beam direction

Problem



Realistic detector simulation has to take into account effects from background processes

- Simulation requires many PXD hitmaps with statistically independent background.
- Soverlay hits from simulated background or random trigger data to hits from signal MC.
- PXD hits have the highest storage consumption
- Requires distributing over all sites where MC is produced.



Problem



Realistic detector simulation has to take into account effects from background processes

- Simulation requires many PXD hitmaps with statistically independent background.
- Soverlay hits from simulated background or random trigger data to hits from signal MC.
- PXD hits have the highest storage consumption.
- Requires distributing over all sites where MC is produced.

Solution: Generating the background data on the way of analysis with GANs instead of storing them.



Motivation





Motivation

Λ



- Using spatial class-conditions based on the sensor number 1-40:
 - Training Data: GEANT4 Simulated beam background events
 - Objective: Generation of sensor-dependent images to capture all intra-event correspondence among images



Fine-Grained Image Generation



Class-conditional GAN : The type of animal is the condition (class)

V Fine-grained class-conditional image generation:

- A. The classes show both statistical and semantic similarity
- **B**. Similar datasets: The Stanford Cars, iNaturalist
- **C**. The objective is to create objects from subordinate categories such as breeds of dogs or models of cars.
- **D**. The small inter-class and large intra-class variation inherent to fine-grained image analysis makes it a challenging problem.







IEA-GAN Model (prologue)



Approximating the concept of an "Event":

- Defining an image per class sampler (generating event by event) and shuffling within each batch (event).
- Intra-event relational reasoning: Using a Relational Reasoning Module over the samples in an event to weight the importance of each image with respect to each other. The model will understand if each image has any relation to one another in a single event.



IEA-GAN Model



LMU

IEA-GAN Model (Discriminator)





Layer Normalization

Embedded samples

Relational Reasoning Module

Normalized Hypersphere

$$L_{dis} = L_{Adv} + \lambda_{2C}L_{2C} + \lambda_{uniform}L_{uniform}$$

$$L_{2C}(x_i, y_i; t) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log\left(\frac{\exp(h(x_i)^T e(y_i)/t)}{\exp(h(x_i)^T e(y_i)/t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}_{k \neq i} \cdot \exp(h(x_i)^T h(x_k)/t)}\right)$$

 $L_{uniform}(h;t) = \log \mathbb{E}_{x_i, x_j \sim p_{data}}[\exp(-t \|h(x_i) - h(x_j)\|_2^2)]$

By imposing uniformity condition over the feature vectors on the unit hypersphere, they preserve as much information as possible since the uniform distribution carry high entropy. Hypersphere dimension: 1024 SN-MLP dimension: 512 Number of Heads: 4 Number of Layers: 1

h(.): Relational embedding
e(.): proxy (class embedding)

IEA-GAN Model (Generator)





$$\begin{split} L_{gen} &= L_{Adv} + \lambda_{2C} L_{2C} + \lambda_{IEA} L_{IEA} \\ L_{2C}(x_i, y_i; t) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(\frac{\exp(h(x_i)^T e(y_i)/t)}{\exp(h(x_i)^T e(y_i)/t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}_{k \neq i} \cdot \exp(h(x_i)^T h(x_k)/t)} \right) \\ L_{IEA}(x_f, x_r) &= D_{KL}(\sum_{i,j} \sigma(h(x_i^{(r)}) h(x_j^{(r)})^{\top}) \mid \sigma(h(x_i^{(f)}) h(x_j^{(f)})^{\top})) \end{split}$$

Relational Reasoning Module



Hypersphere dimension: 128 MLP dimension: 128 Number of Heads: 2 Number of Layers: 1

```
h(.): Relational embedding

e(.): proxy (class embedding)

\sigma(.): Softmax function

x^{(f)}: generated images

x^{(r)}: real images
```

IEA-GAN Model (Generator)



Relational Reasoning Module



$$L_{IEA}(x_f, x_r) = D_{KL}(\sum_{i,j} \sigma(h(x_i^{(r)})h(x_j^{(r)})^{\top}) \mid \sigma(h(x_i^{(f)})h(x_j^{(f)})^{\top}))$$

▷Upon minimising it, we are putting a self-supervised penalising system over the intraevent awareness of the the generator by encouraging it to look for more detailed connections among the images.

▶In the end we want to maximise the agreement of data points on two unit hyperspheres of real image and generated image embeddings.

Layer Normalization MLP Layer Normalization Multi-head Attention Layer Normalization Embedded labels

Hypersphere dimension: 128 MLP dimension: 128 Number of Heads: 2 Number of Layers: 1

```
h(.): Relational embedding

e(.): proxy (class embedding)

\sigma(.): Softmax function

x^{(f)}: generated images

x^{(r)}: real images
```

IEA-GAN Model



Colour-reversed Geant4 simulated image



Colour-reversed IEA-GAN generated image





Validation Metrics over the test set:
 Pixel Energy above the threshold:

- Pixel value 0 means complete darkness.
- The fired pixels are only read out if their value exceeds a threshold, 7 ADU.



Validation Metrics over the test set:
ØOccupancy Density

Mean Occupancy:





Validation Metrics over the test set:

☑FID:

FID is one of the most popular metrics for measuring the feature distance between the real and the generated images. Frechet Distance is used to compute the distance between two "multivariate" normal distribution. For a "univariate" normal distribution <u>Frechet Distance</u> is given as

$$d^{2}(x_{r}, x_{f}) = (\mu_{r} - \mu_{f})^{2} + (\sigma_{r} - \sigma_{f})^{2}.$$

The use of activations of the last layer from the Inception-V3 model trained on the PXD images to summarise each image, gives the score. The lower the FID the better the image diversity and Fidelity.

	WGAN-gp	BigGAN-deep	PE-GAN	ContraGAN	IEA-GAN
FID	12.09	4.40	3.37	2.54 ± 0.43	1.52 ± 0.35

 Table 1: FID comparison between models and ablation of modules.

Possible interpretation of FID in pixel level:





Validation Metrics over the test set:

MPhysics Analysis: High transverse momentum Helix parameter resolutions



Summary and Outlook



MIEA-GAN:

- Successful generation of PXD images based on the sensor number in an end-to-end manner
- Capturing fine-grained class-to-class relations among the images in image generation by introducing Relational Reasoning Module
- Transferring these inter-class connections to the generator via IEA-loss
- ▶ Improving the training stability by using a Uniformity loss for the discriminator
- Working on the real detector data by transferring the same structure with minor modifications to generate them.
- Doing a comprehensive validation of generated hitmaps by estimating the systematic uncertainty on the tracking efficiency, fake rate and resolution.
- Investigating future applications of IEA-GAN in fine-grained image generation task over natural images and other image-based fast detector simulation.

Preparing a submission to Nature Machine Intelligence and open sourcing the code.



Thank You



20

111 411 114 1194 (1



References

- * Kang, Minguk, and Jaesik Park. "Contragan: Contrastive learning for conditional image generation." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020): 21357-21369
- * Hashemi, Hosein, et al. "Pixel Detector Background Generation using Generative Adversarial Networks at Belle II." EPJ Web of Conferences. Vol. 251. EDP Sciences, 2021.
- *Srebre, Matej, et al. "Generation of Belle II Pixel Detector Background Data with a GAN." EPJ Web of Conferences. Vol. 245. EDP Sciences, 2020.
- *Heusel, Martin, et al. "Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium." Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- *Brock, Andrew, Jeff Donahue, and Karen Simonyan. "Large scale GAN training for high fidelity natural image synthesis." arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.11096 (2018).



Back up Slides



Model:

Technologies:

- ▶ Residual blocks
- ▶ Spectral Normalisation
- ▷Orthogonal Weight init.
- ▷Orthogonal regularisation
- ▶ Contrastive Learning
- ▶ Hinge Loss
- Consistency Regularisation
- Differentiable Augmentation
- ▶IEA Loss
- ≥5×10^-5 Ir for both G and D

Algorithm 1 Intra-Event Aware GAN

- **Require:** generator and discriminator parameters θ_G , θ_D , Intra-Event-aware coefficient λ_{IEA} , Uniformity coefficient $\lambda_{uniform}$, Adam hyperparameters α , β_1 , β_2 , Event size M, number of discriminator iteration steps per generator iteration N_D
- 1: for number of training iterations do for $t = 1, ..., N_D$ do 2: for i = 1, ..., M do 3: sample $z^{(i)} \sim p(z), \ x^{(i)} \sim p_{data}(x, y), \ y^{(i)} \sim [1, M]$ 4: $\ell_{D_{hinge}}^{(i)} \leftarrow \ell_{D_{hinge}}^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}; G)$ 5:end for 6: $\mathcal{L}_{uniform} \leftarrow \lambda_{uniform} \mathcal{L}_{uniform}(x; t)$ \triangleright The Uniformity Loss. 7: $\mathcal{L}_{2C} \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ell_{2C}(x, y)$ 8: $\theta_D \leftarrow Adam(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\mathcal{L}_{D_{hinge}}^{(i)}) + \lambda_{2C} \mathcal{L}_{2C} + \mathcal{L}_{uniform}), \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ 9: end for 10:sample $\{z^i\}_{i=1}^M \sim p(z)$ 11: $\ell_{G_{hinge}} \leftarrow \ell_{G_{hinge}}^{M} (G(z_i, y_i))$ $\mathcal{L}_{IEA} \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ell_{IEA} (G(z_i, y_i), x^{(i)})$ 12: \triangleright The Intra-Event Aware Loss. 13: $\mathcal{L}_{2C}^{fake} \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ell_{2C}(G(z,y),y)$ 14: $\theta_G \leftarrow Adam(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^M (\mathcal{L}_G^{(i)}) + \lambda_{IEA}\mathcal{L}_{IEA}, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ 15:16: **end for**

Back up Slides



Markov The Base Model:

Technologies:

- Residual blocksSpectral Normalisation
- Orthogonal Weight init.
- Orthogonal regularisation
- ▶ Contrastive Learning
- ▶Hinge Loss
- Consistency Regularisation
- Differentiable Augmentation
 IEA Loss
- ▶5x10^-5 Ir for both G and D



ResBlock down in D



Back up Slides

The fired pixels are only read out if their value exceeds a threshold, 7 ADU.

- How to capture this prior information about the image?
- Solution: To add this information to the training in a differentiable way???

Algorithm 1 Pixel-Aware regularization.

Input: generator and discriminator parameters θ_G , θ_D , pixel-aware regularization coefficient λ , Adam hyperparameters α , β_1 , β_2 , batch size M, number of discriminator iterations per generator iteration N_D

1: for number of training iterations do for $t = 1, ..., N_D$ do 2: for i = 1, ..., M do 3: sample $z \sim p(z), x = p_{data}(x)$ 4: $L_D^{(i)} \leftarrow D[G(z)] - D(x)$ 5: end for 6: $\theta_D \leftarrow Adam(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^M (L_D^{(i)}), \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ 7: end for 8: sample $\{z^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{M} \sim p(z)$ 9: $x_{fake} = G(z)$ 10: $F[G(z)]: x_{fake} \mapsto x_{fake}^{cutoff}$ 11: \triangleright Threshold wrt. the pixel constraints. $L_{pr}^{(i)} \leftarrow ||G(z) - F[G(z)]||^2$ 12: $L_G^{(i)} \leftarrow -D[G(z)]$ 13: $\theta_G \leftarrow Adam(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^M (L_G^{(i)} + L_{pr}), \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ 14:15: end for