



# **Deep Learning in Air vs Calorimeter Showers**

Florian Bernlochner, Pablo Goldenzweig, <u>Jubna Jabbar<sup>1</sup></u>, <u>Marcel Köpke<sup>2</sup></u>, Markus Roth ErUM Online Collaboration Meeting – April, 2020

<sup>1</sup>jubna.jabbar@kit.edu, <sup>2</sup>marcel.koepke@kit.edu



KIT - The Research University in the Helmholtz Association Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn www.kit.edu www.uni-bonn.de



# Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers with Deep Neural Networks

#### Marcel Köpke ErUM Online Collaboration Meeting – April, 2020

INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR PHYSICS (IKP), FACULTY OF PHYSICS KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT)



# Last time: CORSIKA 7 [1]



Extensive air shower Monte Carlo simulation framework

Different types of interaction models (EPOS-LHC, QGSJET, SIBYLL, ...)



1 TeV Proton

2

1 TeV Iron

10 TeV Proton

10 TeV Iron

# First Test (CONEX)



CONEX: Hybrid Extenisve Air Shower Simulation

- first: Monte Carlo until energy threshold (3D)
- then: cascade equation solver (1D)
- provides longitudinal profile only
- runtime: seconds minutes
- Configuration:

3

- E = 1E17 ... 1E19 eV
- Zenith = 0 ... 65 deg
- Azimuth = -180 ... 180 deg
- Generated ~ 300k datapoints



**CONEX vs. GAN** 



GAN CONEX 1 std

1200

CONEX 2 std

CONEX 3 std

1400



Marcel Köpke: Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers 02.04.2020 with Deep Neural Networks

Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

1200

1400

4





TensorFlow 1 — TensorFlow 2

Xmax distribution

New dataset

5

Implementation of new architecture (ongoing)

## Xmax Distribution (E > 5E18 eV, theta > 35 deg)





Marcel Köpke: Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers with Deep Neural Networks

Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

6

02.04.2020



7



- Why? Bad low energy performance
- Oversample low energies: log-uniform
- Traning: 1.6 million datapoints (200 showers per label set, ~60 GB) Test: 2x 500k datapoints (1 + 10 showers per label set, ~ 20 GB)
- Needs memory mapping \_\_\_\_\_ tf.data API
  - tf.data.Dataset.from\_generator( ... )
  - np.load( ... , mmap\_mode="r")
  - dataset.cache(filepath)
- iteration with ~400 MB/s from SSD

#### **New Architecture**





8

# **New Architecture (Plans)**



- Ensemble of Generators + Discriminators
- Old Model
  - Mixture of Dense- and (Transpose)Convolution-Layers
- DenseNet [2]
  - Full Connectiviy
- StyleGAN [3]
  - Noise injection at different stages
- InfoGAN [4]

9

- Optimize mutual information of noise and generated data

### Summary



- Xmax Distribution looks OK (still problems per primary)
- Many technical improvements
  - New dataset to cope with low energy behaviour
  - Memory mapping for large dataset with standardized API
  - Full TensorFlow 2 implementation
  - Architecture: Masking + Ensemble (ongoing)



#### UPDATES ON FAST SIMULATION OF BELLE II ECL

02.04.2020 Jubna Irakkathil Jabbar | IETP

#### MOTIVATION

- Simulation of particle showers in ECL is a computationally expensive and time consuming process.
- The fast simulation is studied using a configuration of 5x5 CsI(TI) crystals, as in the Belle II ECL.
- Electrons of energies 0.5 GeV, 1 GeV, 1.5 GeV, 2.5 GeV are used for training and testing.
- Electrons of energy 2.0 GeV are used for interpolation.

#### PARTICLE SHOWER SIMULATION













#### WASSERSTEIN GAN



- Critic outputs a score based on how real the input images are.
- Generator outputs synthetic image from noise and labels.
- Additional Energy and Position constrainer networks are added to the model.



Maximum value of energy deposited in the 5 x 5 crystals



Maximum value of energy deposited in the 5 x 5 crystals







Ratio of energies of the central crystal and 3x3 crystals around the central

#### crystal.

updates on Fast Simulation of Belle II ECL - Jubna Irakkathil Jabbar







Total sum of energy deposited in the 5 x 5 crystal.

#### SUMMARY AND ON GOING WORKS

- The WGAN simulated results 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.5 GeV electrons on 5x5 crystals show good agreement with the electrons simulated by Geant4.
- The model is able to interpolate 2.0 GeV electrons well.
- Next steps:
  - Belle II MC shower simulation.
  - Inclusion of additional features.
  - Fast simulation of pions and muons.



#### BACKUP

#### **BACKUP FRAMES**

#### Generator

- 2 x linear
- 1 x Transposed Convolution
- 2 x Convolution
- Activation: LeakyReLU

#### Critic

- 4 x Convolution
- 2 x linear
- Activation: LeakyReLU

#### Constrainer Networks

- 2 x Convolution
- 1 x linear
- Activation: LeakyReLU

#### **RESULTS BY THORBEN QUAST**



### Backup





11 02.04.2020 Marcel Köpke: Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers with Deep Neural Networks

Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

#### **Motivation**





The time complexity of CORSIKA 7 simulations rises approximately linearly with the primary particle energy

Thinning





- Reduces (effective) particle content by particle-aggregation
- Preserves shower properties to leading order
- Reduces shower-to-shower fluctuations

# (conditional) WGAN



#### Generator:

- 5x Dense (+3)
- 5x TransposeConvolution + Convolution (+2)
- Activation: tanh
- Discriminator:
  - 3x Dense (+2)
  - 7x Convolution (+3)
  - 2x Dense (+1)
  - Activation: tanh

#### Trainable parameters: 79.072.457

#### **Shower-to-Shower Fluctuations**





### **Generative Adversarial Neural Network (GAN)**





### **Training: Discriminator (Part 1)**





### **Training: Sampling**





### **Training: Discriminator (Part 2)**





### **Training: Generator**





















# **Fast Implicit Simulation Heuristic (FISH)**



Autoencoder with Adversarial Metric



Simulation Input (SI) can be extended with meta-parameters
 Discriminator can be refined with real measurements

**Cross Entropy** 

-0.8

-1.0



• 
$$CE = \sum_{i} -z_i \cdot \log(p_i) + (z_i - 1) \cdot \log(1 - p_i)$$
  
with z (true) label and p probability (NN output)

■ z = 1: 
$$-\log(\operatorname{sigmoid}(y))$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dy}(-\log(\operatorname{sigmoid}(y))) = \operatorname{sigmoid}(y) - 1$ 



Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) **Cross Entropy** 



• CE = 
$$\sum_{i} -z_{i} \cdot \log(p_{i}) + (z_{i} - 1) \cdot \log(1 - p_{i})$$
  
with z (true) label and p probability (NN output)  
• z = 0:  $-\log(1 - \operatorname{sigmoid}(y))$   
 $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dy} (-\log(1 - \operatorname{sigmoid}(y))) = \operatorname{sigmoid}(y)$   
vanishing  
vanishing  
gradients

0.0

-10.0

-7.5

-5.0

-2.5

0.0

У

2.5

5.0

7.5

27 02.04.2020 Marcel Köpke: Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers with Deep Neural Networks

Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

10.0



**28** 02.04.2020

Marcel Köpke: Update on Simulation of Extensive Air Showers with Deep Neural Networks

Institute for Nuclear Physics (IKP), Faculty of Physics Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

### **Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality**



• 
$$W(\mu,\nu) = \sup_{f \in Lip_{\leq 1}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu}[f(y)]$$

f = Neural Network

Lipschitz continous:  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq L \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|$ 

🔹 Gradient is bounded  $_{ o}$  Gradient penalty  $\; |\|
abla f\| - 1| o 0$ 

#### **Gradient Penalty**



• 
$$W(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{f \in Lip_{\leq 1}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu}[f(y)]$$
  
•  $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[f(x)] \to \infty$   $\mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu}[f(y)] \to -\infty$   
•  $f \to a \cdot f$  and  $a \to \infty$ 

But 
$$|a \cdot f(x) - a \cdot f(y)| \le L ||x - y||$$
  
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot ||\nabla f|| \le L$ 

**Cross Entropy Loss** 



• 
$$CE = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} [\log(\operatorname{sigmoid}(f(x)))]$$
  
 $-\mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu} [\log(1 - \operatorname{sigmoid}(f(y)))]$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[f(x)] \to \infty \qquad \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \nu}[f(y)] \to -\infty$$

• 
$$f 
ightarrow a \cdot f$$
 and  $a 
ightarrow \infty$ 





- Ordinary classification:
  - Gradient





- Ordinary classification:
  - Step





- Ordinary classification:
  - Gradient





- Ordinary classification:
  - Momentum





- Ordinary classification:
  - Step





- Discriminator classification:
  - Gradient





- Discriminator classification:
  - Step





- Discriminator classification:
  - Generator training





- Discriminator classification:
  - Gradient





- Discriminator classification:
  - Momentum





- Discriminator classification:
  - Step





Discriminator classification:

- Step



# Adam: $\alpha \leq 10^{-4}$ $\beta_1 = 0.5$ $\beta_2 = 0.9$

### Constrainer



- Problematic because:
  - Constainer network = reconstruction of label
- What if label information is not present? (thermalization)
- Generator is
  - forced to fullfill reconstruction loss
  - will put constrainer demands into generated data
  - no measure on reconstruction uncertainty



Translate to ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + f(x_t, \theta_t) \implies \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta)$$

- Solve with standard ODE solver
- Adapt solver accuracy on the fly (training: high, inference: low)

### References



- Title picture: Photo by Pixabay from Pexels
- Backup picture: Photo by Anthony from Pexels
- [1] CORSIKA 7: https://www.ikp.kit.edu/corsika/
- [2] DenseNet: https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.06993
- [3] StyleGAN: https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.04948
- [4] InfoGAN: https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03657
- [5] Wasserstein Distance picture:

Lambdabadger / CC BY-SA (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/bysa/4.0)

[6] "Neural Ordinary Differential Equations" - Ricky T. Q. Chen, Yulia Rubanova, Jesse Bettencourt, David Duvenaud – arXiv: 1806.07366