News on the anti-D3-brane uplift

KPV decay at higher order in α'

Simon Schreyer

Based on 2402.13311 and older work 2208.02826, 2212.07437 w/ Arthur Hebecker and Gerben Venken

Swamplandia May 27 2024



News on the anti-D3-brane uplift Simon Schreyer



- Key challenge: Accelerated expanding universes in String Theory?
- Simplest explanation: positive cc, e.g. Anti-D3-brane uplift



Figure: Illustration of Calabi-Yau geometry admitting a warped throat region.





- Key challenge: Accelerated expanding universes in String Theory?
- Simplest explanation: positive cc, e.g. Anti-D3-brane uplift
- Put $p \overline{D3}$ -branes at tip of KS throat
- KS throat: M units of F_3 (K units of H_3) flux on A-cycle (B-cycle), Tip topologically $S^3 \text{ w}/R_{S^3} \sim \sqrt{g_s M} l_s$



Figure: Illustration of Calabi-Yau geometry admitting a warped throat region.



- Uplifting contribution: $V_{\overline{D3}}\sim \exp{(-N/g_sM^2)}/\mathcal{V}^{4/3}$, $N=\mathcal{K}M$
- $|V_{\rm AdS}| \approx V_{\overline{D3}} \Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$



- Uplifting contribution: $V_{\overline{D3}}\sim \exp{(-N/g_sM^2)}/\mathcal{V}^{4/3}$, N=KM
- $|V_{\text{AdS}}| \approx V_{\overline{D3}} \Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$
- Problem: Need cancel flux contribution N to tadpole but negative Q_3 bounded by geometry \Rightarrow lower bound on $g_s M^2$ key
- Stability of $\overline{D3}$'s against KPV decay at leading order gives lower bound: $g_{\rm s} {\cal M}^2 > 12$



- Uplifting contribution: $V_{\overline{D3}} \sim \exp{(-N/g_s M^2)}/\mathcal{V}^{4/3}$, N = KM
- $|V_{\text{AdS}}| \approx V_{\overline{D3}} \Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$
- Problem: Need cancel flux contribution N to tadpole but negative Q_3 bounded by geometry \Rightarrow lower bound on $g_s M^2$ key
- Stability of $\overline{D3}$'s against KPV decay at leading order gives lower bound: $g_{\rm s} {\cal M}^2 > 12$
- Can quantum corrections be neglected in the KPV decay at small $g_s M^2$?



- Uplifting contribution: $V_{\overline{D3}}\sim \exp{(-N/g_sM^2)}/\mathcal{V}^{4/3}$, N=KM
- $|V_{\text{AdS}}| \approx V_{\overline{D3}} \Rightarrow N \gg g_s M^2$
- Problem: Need cancel flux contribution N to tadpole but negative Q_3 bounded by geometry \Rightarrow lower bound on $g_s M^2$ key
- Stability of $\overline{D3}$'s against KPV decay at leading order gives lower bound: $g_{\rm s} {\cal M}^2 > 12$
- Can quantum corrections be neglected in the KPV decay at small $g_s M^2$?
- No! Take as proxy curvature correction to $\overline{D3}$ action:

$$\alpha'^2 \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \mathcal{R}_{ab} \mathcal{R}^{ab} \sim \alpha'^2 \int \mathrm{d}^4 x 1/R_{S^3}^4 \,, \qquad R_{S^3} \sim \sqrt{g_s M} \, I_s$$

Goal: Study the KPV process including quantum corrections





1 KPV decay at tree level

- 2 Two perspectives to study the KPV setup
- 3 The NS5-brane picture
- 4 The nonabelian brane stack picture
- 5 Limitations and Summary



KPV decay at leading order [KPV 01]

Classical instability: brane-flux annihilation



Figure: The tip of the throat.

Figure: KPV potential.

 \Rightarrow Metastable minimum if p/M < 0.08, leading order in α' analysis!



News on the anti-D3-brane uplift Simon Schreyer

Two perspectives to study the KPV decay

- 1. NS5-brane perspective
 - Single NS5 w/ p units of worldvolume flux
 - NS5-brane action controlled if $R_{S^2} = \sqrt{g_s M} \sin \psi I_s \approx \sqrt{g_s M} \frac{p}{M} I_s \gg I_s$



Two perspectives to study the KPV decay

- 1. NS5-brane perspective
 - Single NS5 w/ p units of worldvolume flux
 - NS5-brane action controlled if $R_{S^2} = \sqrt{g_s M} \sin \psi I_s \approx \sqrt{g_s M} \frac{p}{M} I_s \gg I_s$
- **2**. Nonabelian stack of $\overline{D3}$ -branes
 - Brane stack is nonabelian: Transverse displacement of branes described by noncommutative scalars Φ^i (live in adjoint rep of U(p) gauge group of stack)
 - Nonabelian brane stack dynamics described by Myers action, controlled if distance of branes inside stack is substringy $\Rightarrow R_{S^2} \approx \sqrt{g_s M} \frac{p}{M} I_s \ll \sqrt{g_s p} I_s$



Two perspectives to study the KPV decay

- 1. NS5-brane perspective
 - Single NS5 w/ p units of worldvolume flux
 - NS5-brane action controlled if $R_{S^2} = \sqrt{g_s M} \sin \psi I_s \approx \sqrt{g_s M} \frac{p}{M} I_s \gg I_s$
- **2**. Nonabelian stack of $\overline{D3}$ -branes
 - Brane stack is nonabelian: Transverse displacement of branes described by noncommutative scalars Φ^i (live in adjoint rep of U(p) gauge group of stack)
 - Nonabelian brane stack dynamics described by Myers action, controlled if distance of branes inside stack is substringy $\Rightarrow R_{S^2} \approx \sqrt{g_s M} \frac{p}{M} I_s \ll \sqrt{g_s \rho} I_s$

 \Rightarrow at $\sqrt{g_s p} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1)$: complementary regimes of validity, at $\sqrt{g_s p} \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$: overlapping regimes of validity



 \Rightarrow Calculation of α'^2 corrected NS5-brane potential + study where in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space minimum exists



 \Rightarrow Calculation of α'^2 corrected NS5-brane potential + study where in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space minimum exists

- Minimal bound on g_sM²: g_sM² > 144 (compare to g_sM² > 12 from KPV!) ⇒ need much more flux in throat for consistent uplift
- New way of uplifting w/o large warping by tuning tree-level against α' corrections?





 \Rightarrow Calculation of α'^2 corrected NS5-brane potential + study where in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space minimum exists

- Minimal bound on g_sM²: g_sM² > 144 (compare to g_sM² > 12 from KPV!) ⇒ need much more flux in throat for consistent uplift
- New way of uplifting w/o large warping by tuning tree-level against α' corrections?
- Find for $V(\psi_{\min}) = 0$: $R_{S^2} \sim O(1) I_s$ \Rightarrow very boundary of control





 \Rightarrow Calculation of α'^2 corrected NS5-brane potential + study where in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space minimum exists

- Minimal bound on g_sM²: g_sM² > 144 (compare to g_sM² > 12 from KPV!) ⇒ need much more flux in throat for consistent uplift
- New way of uplifting w/o large warping by tuning tree-level against α' corrections?
- Find for $V(\psi_{\min}) = 0$: $R_{S^2} \sim O(1) I_s$ \Rightarrow very boundary of control
- New bound and new uplift work at boundary of control



 \Rightarrow Advance into region not controlled from NS5 perspective by studying stack of $\overline{D3}$ -branes (valid for $R_{S^2} \ll \sqrt{g_s p} l_s$)



The nonabelian brane stack picture

Nonabelian D3-brane stack dynamics in S-dual frame determined by (Myers '99)

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{DBI}} &= -\frac{T_3}{g_s} \int d^4 \sigma \, \text{STr} \left(\sqrt{\det(Q_j^i)} \sqrt{-\det\left(P[E_{ab} + E_{ai}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^{ij}E_{jb}\right] + \lambda F_{ab})} \right) \\ S_{\text{CS}} &= -T_3 \int \text{STr} \left(P \bigg[C_4 + i\lambda i_{\Phi} i_{\Phi}(B_6 + C_2 \wedge C_4) + \cdots \bigg] \right) \\ Q_j^i &= \delta_j^i + \frac{i\lambda}{g_s} [\Phi^i, \Phi^k] \left(G_{kj} + g_s C_{kj} \right) , \qquad E_{ab} = G_{ab} - C_{ab} , \qquad \lambda = 2\pi\alpha' \end{split}$$

- Nonabelian features: Nonabelian pullback P, interior product i_{Φ} , symmetric trace STr, Φ noncommutative scalars



The nonabelian brane stack picture

• Nonabelian $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack dynamics in S-dual frame determined by Myers '99]

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{DBI}} &= -\frac{T_3}{g_s} \int d^4 \sigma \operatorname{STr} \left(\sqrt{\det(Q_j^i)} \sqrt{-\det(P[E_{ab} + E_{ai}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^{ij}E_{jb}] + \lambda F_{ab})} \right) \\ S_{\text{CS}} &= -T_3 \int \operatorname{STr} \left(P \bigg[C_4 + i\lambda i_{\Phi} i_{\Phi}(B_6 + C_2 \wedge C_4) + \cdots \bigg] \right) \\ Q_j^i &= \delta_j^i + \frac{i\lambda}{g_s} [\Phi^i, \Phi^k] \left(G_{kj} + g_s C_{kj} \right) , \qquad E_{ab} = G_{ab} - C_{ab} , \qquad \lambda = 2\pi\alpha' \end{split}$$

- Nonabelian features: Nonabelian pullback P, interior product i_{Φ} , symmetric trace STr, Φ noncommutative scalars
- Questions: Does stack expand? If yes, how large is S^2 ? \Rightarrow Only need stationary points of potential for Φ



The leading order result [KPV 10]

•
$$V_{\text{KPV}} = \frac{T_3}{g_s} \left(p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4g_s^2} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^j] [\Phi^j, \Phi^i] \right) - \frac{i\lambda^2}{3} F_{ikl} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^k] \Phi^l \right) \right)$$

• Stationary point: ansatz $[\Phi^i, \Phi^j] = A \varepsilon_{ijk} \Phi^k$ and $\Phi^i = -iA\alpha^i/2, \alpha^i$: $(p \times p)$ -dim irr. rep of SU(2) $\Rightarrow \Phi^i$ describe fuzzy sphere w/ $A = -ig_s^2 f$



The leading order result [KPV 10]

•
$$V_{\text{KPV}} = \frac{T_3}{g_s} \left(p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4g_s^2} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^j] [\Phi^j, \Phi^i] \right) - \frac{i\lambda^2}{3} F_{ikl} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^k] \Phi^l \right) \right)$$

- Stationary point: ansatz $[\Phi^i, \Phi^j] = A \varepsilon_{ijk} \Phi^k$ and $\Phi^i = -iA\alpha^i/2, \alpha^i$: $(p \times p)$ -dim irr. rep of SU(2) $\Rightarrow \Phi^i$ describe fuzzy sphere w/ $A = -ig_s^2 f$
- * $V_{\rm KPV}(A = -ig_s^2 f) < V_{\rm KPV}(A = 0) \Rightarrow$ stack expands into S^2

•
$$R_{S^2}^2 \simeq rac{\lambda^2}{p} \operatorname{tr}((\Phi^i)^2) \sim rac{p^2}{M^2} g_s M I_s^2$$



The leading order result [KPV 10]

•
$$V_{\text{KPV}} = \frac{T_3}{g_s} \left(p + \frac{\lambda^2}{4g_s^2} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^j] [\Phi^j, \Phi^i] \right) - \frac{i\lambda^2}{3} F_{ikl} \text{tr}\left([\Phi^i, \Phi^k] \Phi^l \right) \right)$$

- Stationary point: ansatz $[\Phi^i, \Phi^j] = A \varepsilon_{ijk} \Phi^k$ and $\Phi^i = -iA\alpha^i/2, \alpha^i$: $(p \times p)$ -dim irr. rep of SU(2) $\Rightarrow \Phi^i$ describe fuzzy sphere w/ $A = -ig_s^2 f$
- * $V_{\rm KPV}({\it A}=-ig_s^2f) < V_{\rm KPV}({\it A}=0)$ \Rightarrow stack expands into S^2

•
$$R_{S^2}^2 \simeq rac{\lambda^2}{p} \mathrm{tr}((\Phi^i)^2) \sim rac{p^2}{M^2} g_s M I_s^2$$

- Condition for minimum: $R_{S^2} \ll R_{S^3} \Leftrightarrow p/M \ll 0.138$ (compare to NS5: p/M < 0.08)



Nonabelian $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack at higher order [55-24]

- · Do same analysis including higher order corrections:
 - Higher commutator corrections ($(p/M)^2$ expansion), expand to next-to-leading order
 - Higher derivative corrections at order $lpha'^2$ (1/($g_s M$) 2 expansion)



Nonabelian $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack at higher order [35 24]

- · Do same analysis including higher order corrections:
 - Higher commutator corrections ($(p/M)^2$ expansion), expand to next-to-leading order
 - Higher derivative corrections at order α'^2 (1/($g_s M$)² expansion)
- Goal: Calculate next-to-lo corrections to V_{KPV} , A, R_{S^2} based on

$$S = -\frac{T_3}{g_s} \int d^4 \sigma \operatorname{STr}\left(\sqrt{\det\left(G_{ab}\right) \det(Q_j^i)} \left(1 + {\alpha'}^2 \mathcal{L}_{\alpha'^2}\right)\right) + S_{\operatorname{CS},\alpha'^2} - T_3 \int \operatorname{STr}\left(P\left[i\lambda i_\Phi i_\Phi C_2 \wedge C_4 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (i_\Phi i_\Phi)^2 C_2 \wedge C_2 \wedge C_4 + \dots\right]\right)$$

 \Rightarrow Derive next-to leading order potential $V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}$



Next-to-leading order solution

Solving perturbatively for stationary points of $V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}$:

•
$$A_{\mathsf{nlo}} = -ig_s^2 f \gamma(1+\delta), \gamma = 1 + \frac{c}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots,$$

 $\delta \sim \lambda^2 \frac{p^2}{M^2} (-1 + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$



Next-to-leading order solution

Solving perturbatively for stationary points of $V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}$:

•
$$A_{\text{nlo}} = -ig_s^2 f \gamma(1+\delta), \gamma = 1 + \frac{c}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots,$$

 $\delta \sim \lambda^2 \frac{p^2}{M^2} (-1 + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$

•
$$V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}(A = A_{nlo}) < V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}(A = 0) \Rightarrow \text{stack expands}$$

•
$$R_{S^2} = R_{S^2, \text{leading}} \left(1 - \lambda^2 \frac{p^2}{M^2} + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots \right)$$



News on the anti-D3-brane uplift Simon Schreyer

Next-to-leading order solution

Solving perturbatively for stationary points of $V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}$:

•
$$A_{\text{nlo}} = -ig_s^2 f \gamma(1+\delta), \gamma = 1 + \frac{c}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots,$$

 $\delta \sim \lambda^2 \frac{\rho^2}{M^2} (-1 + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$

•
$$V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}(A = A_{nlo}) < V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}(A = 0) \Rightarrow \text{stack expands}$$

•
$$R_{S^2} = R_{S^2, \text{leading}} \left(1 - \lambda^2 \frac{p^2}{M^2} + \frac{1}{(g_s M)^2} + \cdots \right)$$

Where do we find minima? Where do we trust solution?



Conditions for Control and Minima

- 1. Control over α' expansion: $(g_s M)^2 > c = 4.91$ (or $\gamma 1 \ll 1$)
- 2. Control over commutator expansion: $\frac{R_{S^2}^2}{g_s p \lambda} \equiv f_p \ll 1$



Conditions for Control and Minima

- 1. Control over α' expansion: $(g_s M)^2 > c = 4.91$ (or $\gamma 1 \ll 1$)
- 2. Control over commutator expansion: $\frac{R_{S^2}^2}{g_s \rho \lambda} \equiv f_\rho \ll 1$
- 3. Control over perturbative solution of A_{nlo} : $\delta \ll 1$
- 4. Necessary condition for minimum: $\frac{R_{S^2}}{R_{c3}} \ll 1$



Conditions for Control and Minima

- 1. Control over α' expansion: $(g_s M)^2 > c = 4.91$ (or $\gamma 1 \ll 1$)
- 2. Control over commutator expansion: $\frac{R_{S^2}^2}{g_s \rho \lambda} \equiv f_\rho \ll 1$
- 3. Control over perturbative solution of A_{nlo} : $\delta \ll 1$
- 4. Necessary condition for minimum: $\frac{R_{S^2}}{R_{s^3}} \ll 1$

Where in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space how well satisfied?



Pheno Implications I





Pheno Implications I





News on the anti-D3-brane uplift Simon Schreyer Swamplandia May 27 2024 12/16

Pheno Implications II

• Can access new region in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space

Table: Minimal values of $g_s M^2$.

• Compare $(g_s M^2)_{min}$ in Table w/ NS5 for p = 1: $(g_s M^2)_{min,NS5} = 144$

Cm	$\left(g_{s}M^{2} ight)_{\min}$	$(g_s M)_{\min}$
0.1	302 <i>p</i>	3.23
0.2	165 <i>p</i>	3.559
$\frac{1}{3}$	106 <i>p</i>	3.714
0.5	83 <i>p</i>	4.369



Pheno Implications II

- Can access new region in $(g_s M, p/M)$ space
- Compare $(g_s M^2)_{min}$ in Table w/ NS5 for p = 1: $(g_s M^2)_{min,NS5} = 144$
- $V_{\text{KPV,nlo}}(A = A_{\text{nlo}}) > 0 \ \forall g_s M > 2.25$ \Rightarrow No new way of uplifting for large $g_s M$





Limitations

- Do not control small $g_s M$, α' expansion breaks down
- Not all relevant α'^2 corrections on branes known, but crucial for value c in $\gamma = 1 + c/(g_s M)^2 + \cdots$



Limitations

- Do not control small $g_s M$, α' expansion breaks down
- Not all relevant α'^2 corrections on branes known, but crucial for value c in $\gamma = 1 + c/(g_s M)^2 + \cdots$
- Worked in flat space approx. $(R_{S^3} \gg R_{S^2}) \Rightarrow$ cannot see KPV decay explicitly + additional corrections
- Do not control large p/M from D3 perspective ⇒ need sum up all commutator corrections



Summary

- KPV setup can (and should!) be studied from 2 perspectives:
 - 1. NS5-brane: when $R_{S^2} \gg l_s \iff g_s M$, p/M), and to explicitly see decay
 - 2. $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack: when $R_{S^2} \ll \sqrt{g_s p} l_s \iff \log g_s M$, small p/M), includes phenomenologically interesting regime of string size S^2 (smallish $g_s M^2$)



Summary

- KPV setup can (and should!) be studied from 2 perspectives:
 - 1. NS5-brane: when $R_{S^2} \gg I_s (\Leftrightarrow \text{large } g_s M, p/M)$, and to explicitly see decay
 - 2. $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack: when $R_{S^2} \ll \sqrt{g_s p} l_s \iff \log g_s M$, small p/M), includes phenomenologically interesting regime of string size S^2 (smallish $g_s M^2$)
- $(g_s M^2)_{\min} \approx O(100)$ from both perspectives (tree level: $g_s M^2 > 12$) \Rightarrow requires much larger flux contribution to D3 tadpole than assumed from tree level
- New way of uplifting proposed in [Hebecker, SJS, Venken '22] can (if at all) work for small $g_s M$ where α' expansion breaks down



Summary

- KPV setup can (and should!) be studied from 2 perspectives:
 - 1. NS5-brane: when $R_{S^2} \gg I_s (\Leftrightarrow \text{large } g_s M, p/M)$, and to explicitly see decay
 - 2. $\overline{D3}$ -brane stack: when $R_{S^2} \ll \sqrt{g_s p} l_s \iff \log g_s M$, small p/M), includes phenomenologically interesting regime of string size S^2 (smallish $g_s M^2$)
- $(g_s M^2)_{\min} \approx O(100)$ from both perspectives (tree level: $g_s M^2 > 12$) \Rightarrow requires much larger flux contribution to D3 tadpole than assumed from tree level
- New way of uplifting proposed in [Hebecker, SJS, Venken '22] can (if at all) work for small $g_s M$ where α' expansion breaks down
- Future: Extend stack analysis to KPV background, sum up all order commutators to access regime of larger p/M



Thank you! Any questions?



News on the anti-D3-brane uplift Simon Schreyer Swamplandia May 27 2024 16/16

Higher order scalar potential

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{tot}} &= V_{\text{DBI},\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})} \left(1 - \frac{c}{(g_{s}M)^{2}} \right) + V_{\text{CS},\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})} + \frac{T_{3} p}{g_{s}} \frac{c_{2}}{(g_{s}M)^{2}} \,, \\ V_{\text{CS},\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})} &= -\frac{T_{3}}{g_{s}} \left(\frac{i\lambda^{2}}{6} F_{ikl} \operatorname{tr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} \right) + \frac{\lambda^{4}}{72} \operatorname{STr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{j}] \Phi^{k} [\Phi^{l}, \Phi^{m}] \Phi^{n} \right) F_{kji} F_{nml} \right) \\ V_{\text{DBI},\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})} &= \frac{T_{3}}{g_{s}} \left(\operatorname{tr}(1) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4g_{s}^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{j}] [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{i}] \right) - \frac{i\lambda^{2}}{6} F_{ikl} \operatorname{tr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} \right) \right) \\ &- \frac{\lambda^{4}}{72} \operatorname{STr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{m}] \Phi^{p} \right) F_{lki} F_{pmj} + \frac{\lambda^{4}}{36} \operatorname{STr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{m}] \Phi^{p} \right) F_{lkj} F_{pmi} \\ &+ \frac{i\lambda^{4}}{24g_{s}^{2}} \operatorname{STr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{m}] [\Phi^{m}, \Phi^{j}] \right) F_{lki} - \frac{\lambda^{4}}{32g_{s}^{4}} \operatorname{STr} \left(\left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{j}] [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{i}] \right)^{2} \right) \\ &- \frac{i\lambda^{4}}{6g_{s}^{2}} \operatorname{STr} \left([\Phi^{i}, \Phi^{j}] [\Phi^{j}, \Phi^{m}] [\Phi^{m}, \Phi^{k}] \Phi^{l} F_{lki} \right) \right). \end{split}$$



,