# Generator Dependence of Muon Isolation Efficiency

#### Lars Linden AG Biebel



Joint Particle Physics Group Seminar 08/05/2024



#### Introduction (Muon Isolation)

- Describes the amount of close range activity around muons
- Charged contributions are evaluated by summing  $p_T$  of tracks within a cone close to muons
- Neutral particles are accounted for by using particle flow and calorimeter energy deposits
- Use these variables together with muon  $p_T$  to create an isolation score





#### **Motivation**

- Isolation efficiency is generator dependent
- This creates an uncertainty for analyses
  - Largest contribution to uncertainty for efficiency measurements
- We want to better understand and reduce this uncertainty if possible



### Methodology

- For these studies Z decays into a pair of muons are used
- Two datasets created with different shower generators: Powheg + Pythia 8 and Sherpa 2.2.11
- Compare shapes of distributions for various variables to look for inconsistencies
- Try to find the precise cause for the observed differences
- This study was done using Run 2 MC

#### **Event Selection**

- Analysis is done on ntuples produced from these samples using <u>MuonxAODAnalysis</u>
- Event selection details:
  - Only look at events with exactly 2 muons, both originating from the Z boson
  - Cut on dimuon mass: 80 GeV <  $m_{II}$  < 100 GeV
  - Data is normalized with respect to its respective dataset
- Shapes of distributions more important than absolute numbers
  - Scale one of the distributions so the total number of entries matches the other in order to make comparison of distribution shapes easier

## **Distribution Scaling**

- Absolute numbers do not match after normalization
- Use average of  $\phi$  ratio plot to determine scaling factor



### **Isolation Efficiency**

- Isolation WPs implemented as: track\_iso + 0.4 \* calo\_iso < threshold \* p<sub>T</sub>
- Check the efficiency binned in the track and calo isolation variables for following isolation WPs
  - PFlow\_Loose\_VarRad
  - PFlow\_Tight\_VarRad
- Relevant isolation variables are  $p_T^{varcone30}$  and  $E_T^{neflow20}$
- Thresholds are 0.045 for tight and 0.16 for loose WP
- Isolation Efficiency of a WP given by

number of muons passing isolation WP

total number of selected muons

### **Definition of ptvarcone30**

- Look for tracks in isolation cone centered around muons
- Cone radius given by  $\Delta R = min\left(\frac{10 \,\text{GeV}}{p_T}, 0.3\right)$
- Consider all tracks with  $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$
- Add  $p_T$  of all tracks together
- The  $p_T$  of the muon track is not added



## Definition of neflowiso20 (simplified)

- Particle flow based track and calorimeter measurements
  - Allows determining neutral particle calorimeter contribution
- Select good tracks and remove muons
- Match to calorimeter clusters within 0.2  $\Delta R$  radius
- Substract clusters matched to tracks
- Add up contributions of remaining cells
  - Get value of isolation variable



#### **Generator Differences for Muon Variables**



- Sanity check to see if distributions of basic muon properties are affected
- Distributions match almost perfectly, as expected
- $\Delta R(jet,\mu)$  shows slight differences due to different shower behavior

#### 08/05/24

#### **Isolation Variables**



- Generator differences are visible in the isolation variables
- Inconsistencies seem to be larger for the component of the isolation variable based on charged tracks

### Investigate Impact on Isolation Efficiency

- How do these observed differences affect the isolation efficiency?
- Idea: look at the efficiency of the isolation variables themselves
- The efficiency in each of the bins then depends only on the other variable
- Example for plotting track\_iso/p<sub>T</sub> efficiency:
- track\_iso/ $p_T$  + 0.4 \* calo\_iso/ $p_T$  < threshold
  - → The number of isolated muons in each bin of track\_iso/p<sub>T</sub> depends on the 2<sup>nd</sup> term
  - $\rightarrow$  If there are differences in efficiency it is because of the calo\_iso/p<sub>T</sub> variable

#### **Efficiency of Isolation Variables**

Allows to investigate effect of differences in isolation variables on isolation results



08/05/24

#### **Inner Detector Track Analysis**

- Check activity around muons in inner detector
- Use only tracks with  $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$
- Count number of tracks within a cone with  $\Delta R < 0.3$
- Sherpa dataset shows more tracks on average
  - Extra shower particles affect isolation efficiency
  - Investigate the nature of these particles



### Implementing Truth ptvarcone30

- Find truth particles corresponding to muon and other id tracks
- For each inner detector track truth particle:
  - Calculate  $\Delta R$  between truth muon and truth particle
  - Check if  $\Delta R$  is smaller than the radius min(0.3, 10GeV/p<sub>T</sub>) using truth muon p<sub>T</sub>
  - If yes, add truth particle  $p_T$  to truth ptvarcone30 of muon

→ Also retrieve truth particle pdg id in this case (slide 17)

• Missing or invalid truth particles are interpreted as nonexistent

#### Reco vs Truth ptvarcone30



- Plots at truth or reconstruction level look very similar
  - Differences from the two generators Pythia and Sherpa present in both truth and reconstruction level

#### **Types of Particles Close to Muons**

- Collect pdg ids from truth track particles inside of the isolation cone
- Sherpa has more ID tracks so more entries
- Most rare particles seem to be even rarer in Pythia



#### **Types of Particles Close to Muons**

- Collect pdg ids from truth track particles inside of the isolation cone
- Sherpa has more id tracks so more entries
- Most rare particles seem to be even rarer in pythia
- Protons are significantly more common in pythia despite the lower number of tracks



#### Conclusions

- Muon isolation efficiency depends on the choice of shower generator
- Searched for origin of this effect by comparing MC distributions
- Found ID tracks most affected by these differences

Related to a significant difference in number of id tracks close to muons

- Checked truth level information and found the same differences in distributions
- Aim is to better understand the differences in order to reduce systematic uncertainties on efficiency measurements

Currently discussing these findings together with ATLAS physics modeling group



#### **Isolation Track Selection Differences**

- Run 2 : ptvarconeXX\_TightTTVA\_ptXX
  - Track was used in vertex fit, or
  - Track was not used in any vertex fit and  $|\Delta z \sin \theta| < 3 \text{ mm}$
- Run 3 : ptvarconeXX\_Nonprompt\_All\_MaxWeightTTVA\_ptXX
  - Track was used in vertex fit and has maximum weight, or
  - Track was not used in a vertex fit and  $|\Delta z \sin \theta| < 5$  mm and  $|d_0| < 5$  mm
  - Further  $|z_0 \sin \theta| < 3$ mm

 $|\Delta z \sin \theta|$  : distance between  $z_0$  of track and primary vertex

 $|d_0|$  : transverse impact parameter wrt beam line

#### **Loose Isolation Variable Efficiencies**



#### Particle Types With Normalized Distributions

