

S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples

GANplifying Event Samples

- Anja Butter, S. D., Gregor Kasieczka, Benjamin Nachman, Tilman Plehn
 - based on 2008.06545
 - **IDT-UM** Meeting
 - **CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE** QUANTUM UNIVERSE



22.09.2020



UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG ZUKUNFT SEIT 1386

Introduction

- Generative machine learning models are increasingly common in physics
- Most commonly Generative **Adversarial Networks (GANs)**
- Applied to:
 - Event generation
 - Calorimeter simulation
 - Cosmology
 - Environmental physics



GANplifying Event Samples



Introduction

- Potential problem
- can I draw from the GAN?
- Standard assumption: no more than N new points
- Is that really true?
- Run tests using toy example

If a GAN is trained on N data points, how many new points

GANplifying Event Samples





 Camel back function: double peak Gaussian $p(X) = \frac{1}{2}(N_{-4,1}(x) + N_{4,1}(x))$ 0.09 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 (× 0.04 ₪ 0.03 0.02 0.01

0.00

-6

-8

-4

S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples





Quantiles

- Measurement how well function is described
- Define N quantiles on true distribution
- Each quantile contains equal probability







GANplifying Event Samples



Training Sample

- Draw 100 points from true camel back distribution
- This is designated as the (training) sample
- Calculate fraction of points in each quantile







GANplifying Event Samples



Parameter Fit

• Fit 5 parameter camel back function to training samples $p(X) = a N_{\mu_1,\sigma_1}(x)$

$$+(1-a)N_{\mu_2,\sigma_2}(x)$$

- Analytically calculate integral for each quantile
- Gives upper performance benchmark



GANplifying Event Samples



- Train GAN on 100 data points from training sample
- Mode-collapse and overfitting problematic
 - Dropout
 - Added training noise
 - Batch-statistics





S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples



- using GAN
- each quantile
- Define quantile MSE:



S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples



- For 100 training samples, 100 fits and 100 GANs compare MSE
- GAN describes distribution better than training data
- Needs 10,000 GANed points to match 150 true points
- Shifts statistical uncertainty to systematic uncertainty



GANplifying Event Samples



- How is this possible?
- In terms of information:
 - sample: only data points
 - fit: data + true function
 - GAN: data + smooth, continuous function
- This allows the GAN to interpolate



GANplifying Event Samples

Interpolation more noticeable for sparser data

S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples

- Extend setup into two dimension
- Ring with gaussian radius
- 2-D analogue of camel back
- GAN is trained on cartesian coordinates
- Quantiles are calculate in polar coordinates

GAN has to learn correlations

GANplifying Event Samples

• Once again: compare quantile MSE

S. Diefenbacher

2-D Combined Quantile

- Combine quantiles in radius and angle direction
 - 2-D histogram with quantiles as bins

100 training points

S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples

2-D Combined Quantile

- Similar behaviour to 50 quantile case in 1-D
- GAN manages to interpolate in 2-D space as well
- Indicates use beyond simple toy model

GANplifying Event Samples

- Further extend setup into five dimensions
- Surface of a 5-sphere with Gaussian radius
- Angles sampled uniform on 5-sphere
- Increased size of training samples to 500
- Perform similar quantile MSE comparison as before

S. Diefenbacher

GANplifying Event Samples

- Plot amplification factor as function of N quantiles
- Interpolation power again gets greater for sparser data
- Very sparse data not commonly encountered
- Although still possible for high enough dimensions

GANplifying Event Samples

Conclusion

- If a GAN is trained on N data points, how many new points can I draw from the GAN?
- Of course dependant on GAN setup and dataset
- If dataset allows for smooth interpolation:
- More then N points
- Condition is fulfilled for a lot of physics cases Promising for physics application

Thank you